ASYMMETRIC HALOGENATION OF CAMPHOR-10-SULFONIC ACID DERIVED ESTERS:

AN EFFICIENT NEW ROUTE TO ENANTIOMERICALLY PURE HALOHYDRINS AND EPOXIDES.

Wolfgang Oppolzer\* and Philip Dudfield
Département de Chimie Organique, Université de Genève, CH-1211 Genève, Switzerland

Abstract: Successive treatment of chiral esters  $\frac{1}{4}$  with LDA/Me<sub>3</sub>SiC1 and NBS or NCS gave crystalline  $\alpha$ -haloesters  $\frac{3}{4}$  which furnished halohydrins  $\frac{4}{4}$  and terminal epoxides  $\frac{5}{2}$  in high e.e..

The practical, chiral auxiliaries Xa and Xb (Scheme 1) confer high  $\pi$ -face differentiation to Diels-Alder- $^1$  and organocopper additions of conjugated enoates $^2$  as well as  $\alpha$ -alkylations $^3$  and -acetoxylations $^3$  of enolates.

## Scheme 1

In conjunction with this work we report here a straightforward conversion of esters to enantiomerically pure halohydrins and terminal epoxides "which features an analogous  $\Pi$ -face selective halogenation process.

Acylation of auxiliary alcohols XH with acid chlorides in the presence of  $AgCN^5$  furnished esters  $\underline{1}$  in excellent yields. Kinetically controlled deprotonation/0-silylation<sup>6</sup> of esters  $\underline{1}$  furnished silyl ketene acetals  $\underline{2}$  which were then treated with N-bromosuccinimide in DME(method A) or in toluene (method B) at  $-780^7$ . Our results are summarized in Scheme 1 and Table 1 $^8$ .

Table 1: Asymmetric Ester Halogenation  $1 \rightarrow (2) \rightarrow 3^9$ 

	R	Product <u>3</u>							
Entry		Hal Method <sup>8</sup>			yield % crude	d.e.% <sup>a)</sup> crude		d.e.% <sup>a)</sup> cryst.	Config. $^{b)}$ at $C_{lpha}$
1	CH3	Хa	C1	С	92	95	77	98	(8)
2	$n$ C4H $_{f 9}$	Хa	Br	Α	84	~90	68	>96	(8)
3	$nC_4H_9$	Хa	C1	С	83	95	67	>96	(8)
4	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	Хa	Cl	С	68	94	54	>96	(S)
5	(СН <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> СНСН <sub>2</sub>	Xa	Br	Α	97	92	66	>96	(S)
6	$(S)-nC_3H_7CH(Me)^c$	Хa	Br	В	83	76	59	>96	(S)
7	nC8H17	$\chi_{b}$	Br	С	91	84	68	>96	(R)
8	nC <sub>8</sub> H <sub>17</sub>	Хa	C1	С	71	96	62	>99	(S)

a)  $^{1}$ H-NMR (360 MHz) of H<sub>A</sub>-signal and HPLC analysis (*Merck*, Lichrosorb Si 60 (5 $\mu$ ), either hexane/2-propanol 270:1 or hexane/ethylacetate 20:1) by comparison with the epimer mixture obtained on treatment of  $\frac{3}{2}$  with LiBr or LiCl, respectively. b) assignment based on correlation with oxiranes 5; c) starting ester 1 prepared by addition of nC<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>Cu. BF<sub>3</sub> to (E)-MeCH=CH-C(0)-Xa.

Thus,  $\alpha$ -bromoesters  $\underline{3}$  were efficiently obtained in high diastereomeric excess as determined directly by  ${}^{1}\text{H-NMR-}$  and HPLC analyses of the product mixtures. Furthermore, crystallization provided routinely the preferred diastereoisomerin virtually quantitative diastereomeric excess. As expected, the absolute configuration of  $\underline{3}$  was reversed when using the antipodal inductor  $X_{b}$  (entry 7). The controlled generation of two contiguous centers of asymmetry using the same auxiliary is exemplified by entry 6 where  $C\beta$  has been first created by addition of nPrCu to the (E)-crotonate of  $X_{a}H$  prior to  $\alpha$ -bromination.

The analogous  $\alpha$ -chlorinations (entries 1,3,4,8) proceeded reliably with high diastereoface differentiation using an even more convenient one-pot procedure. Thus, successive addition of Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl, ester <u>1</u> and NCS to a solution of LDA in THF at -78°  $\rightarrow$ 0° (method C) furnished the crystalline chloroesters 3 in good yields.

Reduction of both bromo- and chloroesters with  $Ca(BH_4)_2$  in  $THF^{10}$  followed by flash chromatography afforded the corresponding halohydrins  $\underline{4}$  and the recovered auxiliary XH (> 90%) Scheme 2, Table 2). Cyclization of  $\underline{4}$  with NaOMe (1.2 eq, MeOH, r.t.0.5 to 2h, extraction with pentane/water gave epoxides  $\underline{5}$ . Their high enantiomeric purities (Table 2) as determined most reliably by complexation  $GC^{4c}$  (entries 1,2,3,6) match perfectly the d.e. values of  $\alpha$ -haloesters  $\underline{3}$  (Table 1) which indicates clean retention and inversion for the steps  $\underline{3+4}$  and  $\underline{4+5}$ , respectively.

Scheme 2

1 41	3,6 2. 001170	J . J .					_	
Entry	R	Χ		Halohydrin <u>4</u>	Epoxide <u>5</u>			
			Ha 1	yield %	yield % <sup>a)</sup>	e.e. %	Config.b,c)	
1	CH <sub>3</sub>	Хa	C1	40	_d)	<sub>96b</sub> )	(R)	
2	nC4H9	Хa	Br	73	_d)	<sub>&gt;98b</sub> )	(R)	
3	<i>n</i> C4H9	Хa	C1	85	54	<sub>&gt;</sub> 98b)	(R)	
4	С <sub>6</sub> Н <sub>5</sub>	Xa	C1	95	67	>90c)	(R)	
5	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHCH <sub>2</sub>	Хa	Br	70	86	<sub>&gt;96</sub> c)	(R)	
6	(S)-nC <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> CH(Me)	Xa	Br	72	72	<sub>98</sub> b,c,e)	(2R,3S)	
7	nC8H17	ХЬ	Br	73	58	<sub>&gt;96</sub> c)	(S)	

Table 2: Conversion of  $\alpha$ -Haloesters  $\underline{3}$  to Halohydrins  $\underline{4}$  and Epoxides  $\underline{5}^9$ 

a) After bulb-to-bulb distillation. b) Complexation  $GC^{+C}$  (Fused silica column, CHIRA METAL Ni-R-CAM/SE 54, Helium). c) Chiroptic comparison . d)Yield not determined. e) GC-determination of the  $(R^*,S^*)/(R^*,R^*)$  ratio of the corresponding bromohydrin  $\underline{4}$  e.e. at C(3) = 99%.

Mechanistically, this assignment is consistent with an attack of "Hal+" from the less hindered  $C\alpha-Si$ -face  $\underline{2}$  (X=Xa)  $\rightarrow\underline{6}$ + (S)- $\underline{3}$  (Scheme 3). Furthermore, this supports our postulated topicity for the lead tetraacetate provoked  $\alpha$ -acetoxylation of  $\underline{2}$  (X=Xa) $\rightarrow\underline{7}$ + $\underline{8}$ +9<sup>3</sup> which involves electrophilic addition of the metal to the  $C\alpha-Si$  face.

In summary we have described herein a novel and practical enantioselective entry to halohydrins and terminal epoxides which are versatile chiral building blocks in organic synthesis  $^4b$ ,  $^4e$ . Further applications and extensions of this methodology such as the preparation of enantiomerically pure  $\alpha$ -amino acids are presently being explored.

Acknowledgements: Financial support of this work by the  $Swiss\ National\ Science\ Foundation$ ,  $Sandoz\ Ltd$ , Basel, and  $Givaudan\ SA$ ,  $Vernier\ is\ gratefully\ acknowledged$ . We thank Mr.  $J.P.\ Saulnier$ , Mr.  $A.\ Pinto$  and Mrs.  $C.\ Clément$  for NMR and MS measurements and particularly, Mr.  $M.\ von\ Arx$  for his technical contribution.

## REFERENCES AND NOTES

- <sup>1</sup>W. Oppolzer, C. Chapuis, G. Bermardinelli, Tetrahedron Lett. 25, 5585 (1984).
- <sup>2</sup>W. Oppolzer, P. Dudfield, T. Stevenson, T. Godel, Helv. Chim. Acta 68, 212 (1985).
- <sup>3</sup>W. Oppolzer, P. Dudfield, Helv. Chim. Acta 68, 216 (1985).
- Different enantioselective approaches to terminal epoxides: from α-amino- or hydroxy acids or sugars: a) K. Mori, Tetrahedron 32, 1101 (1976); b) K. Mori, H. Iwasawa, Ibid. 36, 2209 (1980); C) V. Schurig, B. Koppenhöfer, W. Bürkle, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 17, 937 (1978); d) B. Koppenhöfer, R. Weber, V. Schurig, Synthesis, 1982, 316; e) Masaoka, M. Sakakibara, K. Mori, Agric. Biol. Chem. 46, 2319 (1982). f) K. Mori, T. Otsuka, Tetrahedron 41, 547 (1985); g) J. Gombos, E. Haslinger, Ū. Schmidt, Chem. Ber. 109, 1645 (1976); h) B. Seuring, D. Seebach, Helv. Chim. Acta 60, 1175 (1977); i) B.D. Johnston, K.N. Slessor, Can. J. Chem. 57, 233 (1979); j) L.R. Hillis, R.C. Ronald, J. Org. Chem. 46, 3348 (1981); k) D.A. Howes, M.H. Brookes, D. Coates, B.T. Golding, A.T. Hudson, J. Chem. Research (S), 1983,9; 1) J. Mulzer, P. de Lasalle Ibid. 1983, 10; m) U. Schmidt, J. Talbiersky, F. Bartkowiak, J. Wild, Angew. Chem. 92, 201 (1980); Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 19, 198 (1980); by separation of β-hydroxysulfides: n) W. H. Pirkle, P.L. Rinaldi, J. Org. Chem. 43, 3803 (1978); from α-haloketones: o) H.C. Brown, G.G. Pai, J. Org. Chem. 48, 1784 (1983); from β-ketosulfoxides: p) G. Solladie, G. Demailly, C. Greak, Tetrahedron Lett. 26, 435 (1985); q) H. Kosugi, H. Konta, H. Uda, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1985, 211.
- S. Takimoto, J. Inanaga, T. Katsuki, M. Yamaguchi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 49, 2335 (1976).
- $^6E.J.$  Corey, A.W. Gross, Tetrahedron Lett. 25, 495 (1984); G.M. Rubottom, J.M. Gruber, R. Marrero, H.D. Juve Jr, C.W. Kim, J. Org. Chem. 48,  $\overline{49}40$  (1983).
- <sup>7</sup>For brominations of vinyloxysilanes see: a) R.H. Reuss, A. Hassner, J. Org. Chem. <u>39</u>, 1785 (1974). b) L. Blanco, P. Amice, J.M. Conia, Synthesis 1976, 194.
- The following experimental procedures (carried out under argon) are representative: Method A: Me3SiCl (14 mmol) and ester 1 (8mmol) in THF (25 ml) were added successively to a solution of LDA (prepared from diisopropylamine (8.8 mmol) and 1.6N nBuLi (hexane, 5.5 ml))in THF (25 ml) at -78°. The mixture was stirred at -78° for 1h and then evaporated in vacuo at r.t. (or alternatively, used directly as described in method C). Extraction of the residue with pentane, filtration and evaporation of the pentane solution furnished crude 2. Solid N-bromosuccinimide (8.4 mmol) was added portionwise over 10 min. to a solution of crude 2 in dry DME (80 ml) at -78° with vigorous mechanical stirring. Stirring of the mixture at -78° for 30 min. followed by aq. work-up and flash chromatography gave crude haloester 3 which was crystallized (3x) from hexane. Method B: Analogous to method A but the bromination was carried out in toluene at -78° over 3h to 36h until completion. Method C: Solid N-bromo- or N-chloro succinimide (8.4 mmol) was added in one portion to the stirred solution of in situ-prepared 2 in THF (as described in method A) at -78°. Warming up of the mixture to 0° over 3h, stirring at 0° for 30 min., work-up, flash chromatography and crystallization (2x hexane) gave pure haloester 3.

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$ All new compounds were characterized by IR,  $^{1}$ H-NMR(360MHz) and MS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>J. Kollonitsch, O. Fuchs, V. Gábor, Nature 175, 346 (1955) V. Tolman, K. Vereš, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 37, 2962 (1972).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Observed [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> <sup>210</sup> to 25° values (solvent, c= g/100 m1) of isolated epoxides 5. R, (absolute configuration), lit. ref. for comparison: C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>(R), ref.[4p]:+ 41.6°(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 4. $\overline{8}$ ); (Me)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>(R), ref. [4a]:+ 18.1° (EtOH, 1.9); nC<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>CH(Me)(2R,3S), ref.[4b]:+ 4.2°(Et<sub>2</sub>O, 1,0); nC<sub>8</sub>H<sub>17</sub>(S), ref. [4e]: -13.9° (Et<sub>2</sub>O, 1.2).